

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW MEXICO**

JOY EVELYN DEGROAT,

Plaintiff,

v.

Civ. No. 23-90 KK/SCY

MARCOS CORDERO, BRIAN SANCHEZ,  
COREY NEWMAN, in their official and  
individual capacities, and NEW MEXICO  
STATE POLICE DEPARTMENT, and  
John Does 1, 2, and 3,

Defendants.

**ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE**

This matter is before the Court *sua sponte*. Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 4(m) provides  
in part:

If a defendant is not served within 90 days after the complaint is filed, the court -  
on motion or on its own after notice to the plaintiff - must dismiss the action  
without prejudice against that defendant or order that service be made within a  
specified time.

Plaintiff filed the complaint on January 30, 2023. Doc. 1. Since then, the record reflects that no  
summons have been issued and no Defendants have been served.

**WHEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** that, in order to avoid dismissal of this  
action, Plaintiff must either effect service or provide the Court with a written explanation  
showing good cause why service has not been made, on or before **May 31, 2023**.

  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE